UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		r.´		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,232	03/10/2004	Brian Johnson	23648-70614DIV	2956
	7590 05/31/2007 Conathy, Esquire		EXAM	INER
Dilworth Paxso	on LLP	FERNSTROM, KURT		
3200 Mellon Bank Center 1735 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/797,232	JOHNSON, BRIAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kurt Fernstrom	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Anyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 18(a). In no event, however, may a reply ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 9-12,15-22 and 26-30 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 9,11,12 and 15-22 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 10 and 26-30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	n from consideration.				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing (s) in the dra	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/797,232

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 10 recites "the eye mounted thereon". This limitation lacks antecedent basis, as claim 9 does not explicitly recite an eye. In claim 26, line 5, the phrase "providing at least one molded eye mounting area on the sculpture, comprising..." is indefinite because it is unclear whether "comprising" in this case refers back to "eye mounting area" or "sculpture". Clarification is requested.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, 11, 12 and 15-22 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,232

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 10 and 26-30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a device having all of the claimed limitations. While references such as Powell and Rinehart disclose sculptures with eye sockets and artificial eyes whereby placement of the eye correctly orients the eye within the socket, by various means such as the groove 12 and protrusion 22 of Powell, there is no disclosure or suggestion of such a device where upper an lower eyelids are provided in the eye mounting area, above and below the upper and lower surfaces of the eye socket. This feature has the advantages of enhanced realism of the device, and providing reference for placement of the skin, as discussed on page 10 of the specification. References such as Powell and Maddox, on the other hand, teach eye mounting means where the eyelid portions are included with the eye, rather than the eye mounting area. Because there is no motivation or suggestion to modify the teachings of these references without the use of impermissible hindsight, the claims contain allowable subject matter.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Powell, Maddocks, Lennard, Thompson, Johnson, Secrist and Rinehart disclose various sculptures comprising eye mounting areas.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,232

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**KF** 

May 24, 2007

KURT FERNSTROM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kotlet